How are stakeholders and communities affected by each of the supplemental options for Creston?

The impact confirms our original thinking was accurate

> We would be exposing Creston kids to a yo-yo situation of changing twice in two years because they are intrical to easing the Franklin overcrowding by sending Creston to Cleveland.

I'm really concerned about students currently enrolled in a program who would be excluded in option B. Already kids are navigating mental/emotional health issues because of the pandemic, this is a huge impact on those students.

Kellogg is too crowded in all scenarios

SEGC members feel taken advantage of and that the decisions they made and considered over a lot of time and investment are being wasted.

There has been a recurring theme of avoiding and relieving overcrowding and yet every proposal schools

overcrowds middle

This will set a the stage for more parents/community members undoing the work of the committee

The communities of Marysville, Lents, and Arleta are forced to send their kids to an overcrowded MS.

Creston is not the only school being asked to stay K-8, making it feel very inequitable to cater to one school community

> The indicator for this potential effort seems to be from the public comment, versus the actual item. We pushed similar issues to phase 2, and this seems in conflict with

our decision making

We are giving in, yet again, to the most persistent and loudest voices.

> specifically considered two of these options (add Creston, delay moving MTMS) and rejected them for the exact reasons the data shows.

The coalition

If we consider these options at all, Franklin will be overcrowded no matter what.

There is not a clear

reason, especially

one that advances

racial equity, for

these changes.

If this happens it all, it HAS to be short term. But there is a concern that would be highly risky and lengthy

a unified desire from the coalition is not to overcrowd Kellogg

"I felt really comfortable with what we brought back to the board." "Are we able to return with the same thing we

submitted?"

The coalition was in agreement with the former proposal, although it was not perfect, it was a

better alternative

How are stakeholders in support or opposition to the options?

We don't have information from the Creston community. It was a vocal group of parents. Do we have a survey or other information directly from them?

I am opposed to making changes without a clear process and reason for why those changes are made. The options presented don't allow room for other changes in Phase 2. We will not be able to address Franklin's overcrowding as we have left room for. We are not considering Harrison Parks change to a MS in 2022.

I can personally I am in opposition of the new options as it makes Kellogg overcrowded.

It feels wrong to take an approach that is definitely going to negatively affect many many students in order to try to avoid an only potential negative effect for fewer student. Any scenario that adds to Kellogg results in it being overcrowded. Immediately attending Kellogg being crowded in the first year will impact the whole

What other suggestions do you have?

Keep proposal as is with rationale that these options confirm our original concerns about not over-enrolling Kellogg right off the

Keep our original proposal with assurances that Creston will receive additional CTEs and support

Provide Creston with additional resources that make them feel good about remaining K-8 another year

We are only hearing from people who disagree, rather than considering the greater picture

revisiting proposals. There will be far more changes coming with Phase2 and there will be even more feedback.

We should not set a

precedent by

If we did option C, but removed non-neighborhood students, that gets to around 80%

non-neignbornood students (except those in special education) to their neighborhood schools--gets you to ~82-83% (To be clear, I agree that keeping the proposal as is, potentially even excluding non-neighborhood

Moving only 8th grade Creston students can be an alternative