# ACCESS Academy Site Council Meeting 2/2/15 ACCESS Academy Rm 103, 3:15-4:45 p.m.

**Attendees**: Site Council: Jonathan Dubay, Kristin Sheeran, Kelly Cutler, Michael Rocha, Srule Brachman, Michael Dlltz, Amy MacKinnon, David Wood

Visitors: Mark Feldman JaneAnne Peterson, Diep Bui, Danielle Mackey, Yukie Nakamura, Kinnari Cowell-Shah, Deborah Fleskes, Judy Berck

#### 1. Admissions process for 2015-16

- Still awaiting final word re: BCS co-location and possible build-out of RCP to know our total capacity. Expect to have very soon. Likely will continue co-location with BCS, but grades/size undecided.
- 45-95 new students added to Access for 2015-16. 45 is just to replace open spots (8th grade graduates + extras). 95 would represent our growth goal.
- TAG Department will take until shortly before Spring Break to finish mailing this year's TAG test results. Therefore, application deadline will be March 20th OR 14 days after TAG mails scores.
- Family information days/evenings:
  - Thurs 2/12, 6:30-7:30 p.m. (Grades 1-5 focus)
  - Friday 2/27 9:30-10:30 a.m. (MS focus)
  - Thursday 3/5, 6:30-7:30 p.m. (MS focus)
  - Monday 3/16, 9:30-10:30 a.m. (Grades 1-5 focus)
  - Parent-student information panel + possible breakouts each session
  - Ask for parent-student volunteers with online survey

# 2. Review proposed addition of demographic information to Application Handout: [Draft] Questions 1-6, pp. 2 of new Application.

- free and reduced lunch
- race/ethnicity
- family or cultural background
- IEPs and 504s
  - supports needed
- Discussion: Affluent parents can afford additional private testing and can go greater lengths to make their case for inclusion in the Access program
- Questions: How will we use this information?
  - Need to clarify that consideration of "need" happens BEFORE consideration of demographic information -- that is, balancing demographics happens AFTER Admissions Committee determines if there is need and the student qualifies.
  - Clarify, we are already doing some prioritization of demographics: siblings, gender. Now will also consider FRL status, underrepresented demographic groups, geography.

#### 3. Discussion of sibling preference in admissions

- Review of 2014-15 sibling admission data
- Handout: "ACCESS Academy Sibling Preference Discussion Site Council 2/2/15"
- Committee reviewed pro's and con's of current Option A "absolute sibling preference" vs. Options B and C.
- Discussion: Community consensus that (1) families and our community are strengthened by presence of siblings; (2) BUT, we are concerned about effects to diversify student body and provide options to non-siblings. E.g., 14/16 1st/2nd spots taken by siblings in 2014-15. Aberration? (In contrast, 3rd grade only 3/38 spots taken by siblings).
- Questions: Would some families be dissuaded from applying if the sibling preference were eliminated? Would some families be dissuaded if sibling preference persists and they perceive all or most slots would be reserved for siblings?
- In Option C, would we want to "quantify" weight of sibling preference vs. other demographics, etc."
- Other possible considerations:
  - o how long the person has been on wait list
  - o is it an older sibling? take into consideration

### 4. Review parent survey regarding admissions/sibling preference

- Handout: "ACCESS Academy 2015-16 Admissions Survey"
  - Goal(s): (1) Increase parent/family involvement in admissions process -especially outreach to less-represented schools and communities; (2) give
    families means for providing input on admission process and priorities, including
    sibling preference
  - Web survey to go to current families by 2/5 -- Data to be collected and shared with Site Council at 2/23 meeting.
  - Site Council will make final recommendation in 3 weeks (2/23) regarding sibling preference; Mr. Wood will make final decision with approval from Senior Director Karl Logan.

### 5. Items for discussion at 2/23 SSC meeting

- sibling preference reviewing sibling data
- Agenda out by 2/16 -- contact Ms. MacKinnon

ACCESS Academy strives to admit and retain students who represent the geographic, racial, cultural, and linguistic diversity of Portland Public Schools' highly gifted population. We welcome and encourage applications from families of color, families eligible for free/reduced-price meals, families who speak languages other than English at home, and families whose students are "twice-exceptional" (i.e., highly gifted and eligible for Special Education services or a Section 504 Plan of Accommodation).

Follo	wing are questions that are asked of all new students upon registration with Portland Public Schools:
1.	Is your child of Hispanic or Latino origin?Yes No
2.	What race(s)/ethnicity(-ies) do you consider your child? Mark one or more that apply:
	Asian Black Native American/Alaska Native
	Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White
3.	What language(s) does your student speak at home?
	Does your student have prior experience reading, speaking, or writing these languages?
	Mandarin Chinese. Explain
	Other language(s). Explain
4. Answei	Does your student currently qualify for free/reduced-price meals?YesNo
informa Principa	ring the above question authorizes the ACCESS Principal to verify your student's FRL status with PPS Nutrition Services. This lition will be used solely in connection with admission to the ACCESS program, and will be held strictly confidential by the ACCESS and members of the ACCESS Admissions Committee.
5. backg broadly	What else would you like ACCESS's Admissions Committee to know about your student's family or cultura round that will help us understand where your student is coming from, and that will help us build a program that is representative of PPS's highly gifted population?
6. Section	<b>Supports for your student at ACCESS.</b> If your student currently qualifies for Special Education services or has a 504 Plan of Accommodation, please attach a current copy to this Application.
student' counsel	a. [Students w/ IEP or 504 Plan only] What teacher, staff member, or other helping professional knows your securent learning and support needs the best? (E.g., Learning Center teacher, school counselor, outside or/therapist, etc.)
Name: _	Role/Title:
hone:_	Email:
nember	b. Do you grant permission for ACCESS staff to obtain information about your student from this staff /provider for the purpose of determining his/her eligibility for admission to ACCESS – and, if admitted, to assist your n transitioning from his/her current school setting to ACCESS? If so, please sign below:
	D-4
	Parent/Guardian Signature Date:

## ACCESS Academy Sibling Preference Discussion – Site Council 2/2/15

preference over all non-siblings. Under Option A, ACCESS admits all qualified siblings before admitting any non-siblings.  Pros: Cons:  Option B (no sibling preference): Under Option B, qualified siblings' and non-siblings' applications are treated alike. The Admissions Committee makes admission decisions based solely on evidence of giftedness (99%ile+) and need for an alternative program, without regard for each applicant's sibling status.  Pros: Cons:  Option C ("modified sibling preference"): Under Option C, ACCESS's Admission Committee would consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of idmitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.  Pros: Cons:	percenti	ile score, and who	o have a demonstra	ted need for an alternat	ive program, have	an admission
Pros:  Cons:  Option B (no sibling preference): Under Option B, qualified siblings' and non-siblings' applications are treated alike. The Admissions Committee makes admission decisions based solely on evidence of giftedness (99%ile+) and need for an alternative program, without regard for each applicant's sibling status.  Pros:  Cons:  Option C ("modified sibling preference"): Under Option C, ACCESS's Admission Committee would consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of idmitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.	preferer	nce over all non-s	iblings. Under Opti	on A, ACCESS admits all	qualified siblings b	efore admitting
Option B (no sibling preference): Under Option B, qualified siblings' and non-siblings' applications are treated alike. The Admissions Committee makes admission decisions based solely on evidence of giftedness (99%ile+) and need for an alternative program, without regard for each applicant's sibling status.  Pros:  Cons:  Option C ("modified sibling preference"): Under Option C, ACCESS's Admission Committee would consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, itudent demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.	any non-	-siblings.				
Option B (no sibling preference): Under Option B, qualified siblings' and non-siblings' applications are treated alike. The Admissions Committee makes admission decisions based solely on evidence of giftedness (99%ile+) and need for an alternative program, without regard for each applicant's sibling status.  Pros:  Cons:  Option C ("modified sibling preference"): Under Option C, ACCESS's Admission Committee would consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, itudent demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.	Proc.			Come		
Option B (no sibling preference): Under Option B, qualified siblings' and non-siblings' applications are treated alike. The Admissions Committee makes admission decisions based solely on evidence of giftedness (99%ile+) and need for an alternative program, without regard for each applicant's sibling status.  Pros:  Cons:  Option C ("modified sibling preference"): Under Option C, ACCESS's Admission Committee would consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.	1103.			Cons:		
Option B (no sibling preference): Under Option B, qualified siblings' and non-siblings' applications are treated alike. The Admissions Committee makes admission decisions based solely on evidence of giftedness (99%ile+) and need for an alternative program, without regard for each applicant's sibling status.  Pros:  Cons:  Option C ("modified sibling preference"): Under Option C, ACCESS's Admission Committee would consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.						
Option B (no sibling preference): Under Option B, qualified siblings' and non-siblings' applications are treated alike. The Admissions Committee makes admission decisions based solely on evidence of giftedness (99%ile+) and need for an alternative program, without regard for each applicant's sibling status.  Pros:  Cons:  Option C ("modified sibling preference"): Under Option C, ACCESS's Admission Committee would consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.						
Option B (no sibling preference): Under Option B, qualified siblings' and non-siblings' applications are treated alike. The Admissions Committee makes admission decisions based solely on evidence of giftedness (99%ile+) and need for an alternative program, without regard for each applicant's sibling status.  Pros: Cons:  Option C ("modified sibling preference"): Under Option C, ACCESS's Admission Committee would consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.						
Option B (no sibling preference): Under Option B, qualified siblings' and non-siblings' applications are treated alike. The Admissions Committee makes admission decisions based solely on evidence of giftedness (99%ile+) and need for an alternative program, without regard for each applicant's sibling status.  Pros: Cons:  Option C ("modified sibling preference"): Under Option C, ACCESS's Admission Committee would consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.						
treated alike. The Admissions Committee makes admission decisions based solely on evidence of giftedness (99%ile+) and need for an alternative program, without regard for each applicant's sibling status.  Pros:  Cons:  Option C ("modified sibling preference"): Under Option C, ACCESS's Admission Committee would consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.						
consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.	status.	ss (ss/one) and t	need for all diterilat		gard for each appli	cant's sibling
consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.						
consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.						
consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.						
consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.						
consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.						
consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.						
consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.						
consider qualified sibling status alongside other factors, most likely: geographic/school representation, student demographics (gender, ethnicity, language), and free/reduced lunch status with a goal of admitting new students broadly representative of Portland Public Schools' population.						
Pros: Cons:	consider ( tudent d	qualified sibling s lemographics (ge	status alongside oth ender, ethnicity, lang	er factors, most likely: go guage), and free/reduced	eographic/school red I lunch status with	epresentation,
				e of Portland Public Sch	ools population.	

## ACCESS Academy Admissions 2014-15: Siblings and Non-Siblings

Grade	Total Qualified Applicants for	Total Offered Admission for	Siblings Offered Admission for	Non-Siblings Offered
8	2014-15	2014-15	2014-15	Admission
1	66	10	8	2
2	41	6	6	0
3	55	38	3	35
4	29	4	3	1
5	29	5	4	1
6	40	27	2	25
7	13	10	2	8
8	3	2	0	2
TOTAL	276	102	28	74

#### **ACCESS Academy 2015-16 Admissions Survey**

ACCESS Academy will admit 45-95 new students for 2015-16, depending on space configuration in the Rose City Park building and who might share this building with us. As a school community, we are committed to ensuring that the ACCESS program is broadly representative of all highly gifted students in Portland Public Schools. **We need your input and help to make this happen!** 

Most families who apply to ACCESS tell us they heard about our program through friends and acquaintances. (Others, of course, learn about ACCESS from a knowledgeable Teacher, TAG Coordinator, Counselor or Principal at their school. Still others received a letter from ACCESS with their student's TAG test results, and responded to that letter.)

We believe that increased parent outreach to prospective and admitted ACCESS students is crucial to accomplishing these goals for our admissions in 2015-16:

- Increase interest in ACCESS among highly gifted students in currently underrepresented schools
   especially Title I-eligible schools -- which have not had applicants to ACCESS in recent years.
- Increase parent-to-parent contacts in-person and by phone, mail, and e-mail to ensure all
  eligible families have accurate information about the ACCESS program, and all admitted
  students' families make connections with the current ACCESS community.
  - o PTA letter to prospective applicants
  - o More parent involvement in recruiting/information panels and events
  - Parent outreach to targeted communities, families
- Increase the number of applicants and enrolled students from underrepresented groups –
  especially African-American, Latino, and Native American students and students eligible for
  free/reduced-price lunch.
- 1. Your name
- Your ACCESS student's(s') name(s) and grade level(s):
- 3. In what year did your family **first** apply to ACCESS?
- 4. Other schools your students have attended, or to which you feel connected:
- 5. Community, social, or faith organizations you belong to through which you might meet prospective ACCESS families:
- 6. Are <u>you</u> available and interested to speak with prospective ACCESS families at these upcoming information days/evenings? (Check <u>all</u> for which you are available and interested):

 Thursday, 2/12, 6:30-7:30 p.m. (Grades 1-5 focus)
 Friday, 2/27, 9:30-10:30 a.m. (MS focus)
 Thursday 3/5, 6:30-7:30 p.m. (MS focus)
 Monday, 3/16 9:30-10:30 a.m. (Grades 1-5 focus)

7. Are <b>you</b> willing to share your contact information (phone number and/or email address) with families considering applying to ACCESS, or families who are admitted and deciding whether to attend? If yes, please enter your preferred contact information and times here:
8. Based on your family's experience in learning about and applying to ACCESS, what advice do you have for ACCESS about reaching out and communicating effectively with a prospective families – either before, during, or after the application process?
9. In admitting new students for 2015-16, which of these best describes your feelings about ACCESS's current sibling preference policy. (FYI, through this year, ACCESS has followed "Option A," below; we have applied an "absolute" preference for qualified siblings over qualified non-siblings):
A. Option A: ["Absolute sibling preference"] ACCESS should continue to admit all qualified siblings over non-siblings.
B. Option B: ["No sibling preference"] ACCESS should evaluate siblings' and non-siblings' applications equally, making admission offers based solely on applicants' highly gifted status and need for an alternative program, regardless of sibling status.
C. Option C: ["Modified sibling preference"] ACCESS should consider sibling status alongside other factors like underrepresented demographics, free/reduced lunch status, school/geographic diversity, etc., with an overarching goal of admitting a diverse class of students representative of Portland's highly gifted population.
D. Option D: Something else. Please describe
10. Do you have any other input for the Principal, Admissions Committee, or parent-volunteers doing outreach to families for admission in 2015-16?
Thank you for your helpful input!
David